



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

1. Welcome

Daniel (Chair): Welcome everyone to Utrecht! We will be here at times for GAs or events or not, who knows? Thank you all for being here and doing us the honor, we've done a lot of work and we are happy to see you here and be able to work for this organization.

13:47 declaration of the GA opening

2. Approval of the Agenda

Daniel presents the agenda. There are no objections, therefore the agenda has been approved.

3. Independent Body – Presentation & Approval

Daniel (Chair): New independent body members come forward. If there are still people out there we are always looking for new IB members.

Daniel presents Amber and Koen. Charlotte also signed up but cannot be here today. The IB has decided that Amber is going to be Chair. There are no objections, therefore the IB and the IB chair has been approved.

4. Approval of Previous GA Minutes

There are no objections, therefore the previous GA minutes are approved.

5. Introduction of Extra Muros

Daniel (Chair): I would like to invite Extra Muros to present themselves.

Domagoj (TUC): We are from UC Tilburg and we are going to present Extra Muros and our curriculum. Our program is focused on exploring and discovering scientific disciplines in the first year. Then on your second year you have 5 majors, you can choose between different disciplines. In the third year you have an opportunity to pick your minor and you can choose whatever topic and field you like. The thing that separates us from the rest is our innovative education. We also have an honors program. Our student association is the only one for our university. It's nice to mention that we are the youngest university college in the Netherlands. Liberal Arts and Sciences have existed for 9/10 years in Tilburg, but since last September we are a University College. We have off campus housing, but we also have a



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

really big campus. We get personal guidance by our academic advisors and we get two free language courses in the language centre and we have a modern nice sports centre.

Presents the board: Rebecca, Domagoj, Maaïke, Stella and Nathalie.

Domagoj (TUC): We are the only study association of the University College in Tilburg. The university college is a part of the Tilburg school of humanities. We have around 50% international students. Every first year has around 100 students and the whole campus has more than 96 nationalities. We will be the 9th board this year and last year we had 80 members, but this year we are aiming to increase it to more than a hundred. We have 10 committees in our organization and they are divided as seen on the photo.

Extra Muros chair introduces and explains all their committees. Then proceeds to show pictures of study trips and social events.

Domagoj (TUC): One last thing we will organize a welcoming event for all of you guys at our University College. We will keep you posted for updates and you are all invited.

6. Vote on Extra Muros Membership

Daniel (Chair): Any questions to Extra Muros?

Tariq (UCR): Is every UC Tilburg student an Extra Muros member?

Domagoj (TUC): It's not that we have a requirement, but we recommend and guide our students to join our Student Association.

Daniel repeats the question and answer.

Daniel (Chair): IB is going to hand out the ballots for the voting. We want to keep it private, because we want to provide everyone the chance to be as honest as possible. When you have filled in the ballot, raise your hand so that we can pick it up.

Jin (UCU): It is assumed that Extra Muros will pay the same membership fee right?

Daniel (Chair): UC Tilburg will pay same fee as every other UC.

Louise (AUC): Do we have to state our name for the minutes?

Daniel (Chair): Yes, we already asked the people to state their names.

Suzanne (AUC): How does it work for the UCT abbreviation? Is there a solution for this already?



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Daniel (Chair): Milou is from UC twente, so this is a sensitive topic for her. Internally and externally we use the student association names. We are not representing the institute, we are representing the students. We will use Extra Muros for the name and Atlantis.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): There is a simple solution: TUC and UCT.

The votes are counted and Extra Muros has been approved. Extra Muros can from now also vote.

7. Appeal decision Sophie Smeets

Daniel (Chair): I will invite to the floor the IB from last year that have to give a statement for their appeal decision with regards to the Sophie Smeets situation.

Mees (Ex IB): This is about the reimbursement for the UCSRN Tournament she made expenses for the Tournament and the PM says that you have to ask for a reimbursement within 4 weeks. But because some problems occurred she could not send it in. In the end, because there were more people involved in this error we do not think she is responsible and pay. That's why the IB said that she should be reimbursed for the money. Does someone have an objection?

Ruben (UCR): Can you be more specific about the problems that occurred and can you state the amount?

Mees (Ex IB): I'm gonna need to look it up.

Daniel (Chair): The IB cannot find it, but maybe Jonathan can check it since he was last years treasurer.

Jonathan (Ex EB): I am checking, it is 99,59 euro.

Ruben (UCR): What were the circumstances?

Mees (Ex IB): So that's the amount, the reason was that she wasn't informed that she has to reimburse it within 4 weeks.

Ruben (UCR): Isn't stated in the policy manual?

Mees (Ex IB): She asked her treasurer, but she was wrongly informed.

Tariq (UCR): But the policy manual is publicly available right? Everybody can read it ,why didn't she look it up herself?

Mees (Ex IB): She was wrongly informed, which means that she knew another system so that's why she didn't look up the policy.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Menno (UCU): Procedural question, was your decision final?

Mees: We present our decision and you are aloud to object.

Menno (UCU): And what if we object? Then she doesn't get her money back?

Mees (Ex IB): Yes. So are there any objections? Yes, so now we move on to a vote.

Daniel moves to voting for the reimbursement.

Daniel (Chair): The reimbursement is approved. We would like to use this as a lesson: mistakes happen, but communicate these kind of rules with people that organize the events. I would like to invite Jonathan to present the summary of last year.

8. Year 2016-2017 Summary Presentation

Jonathan presents on the UCSRN Year of 2016-2017.

Lennart (UCM): Who met with the Minister of Education and are there notes on what was said?

Jonathan (Ex EB): We didn't take minutes of the meeting. We met with a government official working on diversity in education. Discussed How university colleges should approach the idea of diversity, because UCs are quite involved in diversity, we are quite diverse. In the meeting Joris explained the vision of AUC. There was nothing decided, but it was an open discussion about diversity. If you check the minutes of Last GA in March, there should be an update about that topic.

Jonathan proceeds to explain their finances of last year and congratulates the new board.

9. IB Audit Presentation

Daniel (Chair): I would like to invite the old IB.

Old IB: As you probably know, our job is to make the Audit twice a year. Last September we sent the recommendation letter to the treasurer. We would like to talk about what was in that letter and the response we received and our answer to that response. The main concern on the amount of money spend on board weekends. Especially because there wasn't a lot of communication to why it was spent there in contrast to the money spent in other sections. That was our letter basically, we received a response that was unsatisfactory to the IB in general. Mainly because this was stated "The audit should not deal to anything



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

that has to do with the interpretation of the policy”, which we thought was not the best way to respond to our recommendation. We feel like we should discuss this further.

Old IB: There are expenses for drinks and food during the UCSRN Tournament and 300 euros on the UCSRN Weekend, since this is not for all UCSRB members, it should be approved by the GA. We want to have a clarification of all GA members.

Shamyi (UCU): What was the board weekend?

Old IB: It wasn't one weekend, this was a combination to all weekends.

Jonathan: So the first concern is the UCSRN Weekend, which was in Utrecht, there we bought pizzas. Other weekend was when discussing long term vision, other expenses were throughout of the year. There was concern the UCSRN weekend wasn't approved, first it was on the unforeseen budget on which the GA approved, furthermore we sent e-mail about the UCSRN weekend.

Old IB: We just want to be in agreement with all the members and have this discussion for future expenses.

Jonathan (Ex EB): Nothing was reallocated, it was under the unforeseen budget.

Faye (UCR): If you don't want to spend the unforeseen on that then where do you suggest it should be spent?

Old IB: It is a valid point we might be able to discuss.

Jonathan: This is something I stated in the last budget GA.

Daniel (Chair) : There will still be the opportunity to raise your concern about the budget. The IB doesn't actually make the budget, there will be time to discuss this later.

Old IB: Our last point is that we encourage the board to look back more critically at the budget and double check on the treasurer. Are there any questions?

10. Vote on dischargement board of 2016-2017

Daniel (Chair): If you approve this, we will accept these results and accept that the old board does not carry responsibility about last years situations anymore.

Voting on results and dischargement is approved.

BREAK



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

11. Policy Plan

Daniel (Chair): Ok, we are resuming the GA! For the next point, "Policy Plan" a short introduction: The policy states we need to present a policy plan to the GA, it doesn't necessarily have to be voted, we just have to present it to you. Last year did this in the form of a mission plan. We are doing it as a policy plan, but it is a smaller document than some policy plan's you may be used to.

I will summarize the most important points, which are things presented by you guys during previous GAs, but please note the document is work in progress. It will sent to you on a later date, but there is going to be a question and comment moment here and we will send it out to you AFTERWARDS with changes suggested here. Even after this it's always open to discussion, so please still send us input.

There are a few things we want to focus on

- We want to take a supportive approach, we want to support SAs in hosting events when required, we want to continue critically assessing how the event organisation works, because sometimes organization of events can be better off done at the Universities, we will continue to look in which steps we can be most useful.
- Representation and public appearance, we want to as much as possible be visiting and take part in events at different UCs, both formally and informally. We visited the UCSA Constitutional Drinks, which was fun, so we would like to be invited to both formal and informal events.
- Networks of influence, over the past years we noticed the influence spheres and their importance. We have been in contact with iSO and LsVB, but we want to continue formalising this as it's not a finished project.
- We want to continue on last board's goals, as we believe this continuity is important. We want to set a line for the future movement of the UCSRN as set out by you the GA.
- We want to focus on the bottom-up approach, as the event proposal system works, we receive proposals through SAs from any student. The focus lies on getting input from our reps, so if we want to do something policy wise we have to continuously ask ourselves and our reps if this is something we really want.
- Transition is important; we got transitioned well but we want to guarantee that this is the case for all years, so we want to set up a functioning structure that works for many years.

Any question?

If there is nothing, we will send it to you and you can give feedback!



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

12. Mission Social Committee

Daniel invites Milou over for the SC update.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): I will shortly introduce the Mission of the Social Committee. We want to focus on being a platform of discussion – social matter and information. We hope to create a sense of unity between the UCs through hosting events, as actually meeting people really works. We will not be doing the organisation of events, we will be doing promoting and sponsoring as the UCSRN and as the Social Committee we will look through the event proposals and judge these. We will also be promoting communication between committees and individual student initiatives.

Daniel thanks Milou and invited Mirre over for the AC update.

13. Mission Academic Committee

Mirre (Academic Committee Chair) : I'm Mirre Stevens, I'm the UCU academic rep and the academic committee chair for the upcoming year. I apologise for my slides being not as pretty as Milou's but I don't think that matters too much. For the coming year the focus of the academic committee is really going to be being an information sharing platform. So we have the opportunity to have academic representatives from all UCs and everybody has individual knowledge of their UCs, and individual experiences of their UCs. They all have individual issues and initiatives and view. So the AC is focusing on being a platform where one AC can share an experience and issue and hopefully gain insight and inspiration from the experience of others. If we find issues that a lot of UCs have in coming the AC can ask the EB to take these on to a bigger level. The Executive Board takes these to their networking events to search for further information and solutions there. So it's for sharing information that already exists and distilling common questions to direct the search for answer.

We hope that we can get involved with Academic Events. The AC will not be organising events but through reading sent in event proposals, we will facilitate and sponsor proposed events.

As summary we are essentially a platform of discussion.

Milou comes back to say one final thing

Milou (Social Committee Chair): What we both want to stress is that the events come from your initiative, it is your proposals that get turned into events – so please send proposals in.

Daniel (Chair): Any questions or comments?

No questions or comments.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

14. Budget Proposal

Kirsten (Treasurer): I will present the budget proposal, if I go to fast please raise your hand. It may seem logical to me, so don't hesitate to ask for clarifications.

So this is the general overview, most of our income is membership fees. Last year it was decided that our membership fee would be €1200, but since Extra Muros is now joining we propose to bring the fee down to €1000 per Member. We believe this will still allow us to budget for all the things we want to budget. We took approval of Extra Muros into account while creating this proposal, which is why the contribution fee is €9000. We are trying to get some sponsoring from the government and some from people being in the journal and things like that, but we will keep you updated.

For the expenses, there is no specific budget for the SC and AC, as this has now all been moved to the separate section called Event Fund. This is a new structure, that has been explained, I will explain further later on. But to clarify, the fact that there is €0 allocated to either of them is because it's now in the new budget category. This category also includes the travel expenses, as these will be part of the event proposals. This was decided on in the GA last year.

There is a negative result, this is because we are really financially healthy we have €5000 on our account. We don't need this in our savings, so we think this negative result is doable. We also do not think we'll get to this in the end, because the event fund depends very much on the travel expenses made by students and a lot of people have free OV's on weekends or a discount.

Now some details on expenses. The website cost went up, which is because it was free last year due to us hosting through RASA. We are no longer doing that, so we need to increase costs to keep the site up and running. Promotion was increased because we want to get our name out there, which is influential for our proposed structure and goals. So this went up because we want to get out there more. It went up a lot because we also want to go to conferences with entrance fees. That's why we upped that with €300.

In general, we added discussion sessions, due to the UCSRN weekend being very successful last year. We want to do it again, we want everyone to get together and discuss topics. This amount is for around 3 sessions, we don't have proposed topics yet, but we will keep you updated. We have a new budget category called training, this is IB and AB training for this year and next year. We want to try to formalise the IB transition a bit better and see if they need any workshops, because we want to provide them with the opportunity to perform their tasks as good as possible.

Then we have a post called bonding for committees; this is €100 for the SC and €100 for the AC. They proposed this budget to do a bonding event as they are spread over the country, and they think it will lead to more efficient meetings if they meet up and bond. They think it



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

will help the UCSRN in the long run. The AC proposed to do an Escape Room and the SC proposed to use the money for a lunch.

There is no unforeseen budget. It was explained before. We would like to get permission before we spend money because otherwise we may get discussions as we had in the past. If there is an unforeseen budget – we will either call you or inform you another way, but we don't want to spend your money without your approval.

The event fund is currently at €9000, it was decided by looking at what was proposed and what was used for last year. It's higher than what was actually used last year, as we hope the AC will hopefully sponsor some events this year. It is also including travel expenses. To ensure all UCs are happy with the sponsored events, they will have to be approved through the committees. And everyone is represented in all the committees. The EB will try to make an equal distribution between AC and SC, but the sponsoring of events if event proposal dependent. If we only get social events sent it, at some point the equal distribution might get thrown by the want to sponsor events we get in. If you have events please send them in.

Are there any questions?

Tariq (UCR): About the bonding budget, why would there be a €100 per committee? As you explained this the committees proposed the budget because they are spread around the country and would like to bond, I get how that would be nice and could make them more efficient. But then there's also a travel reimbursement that can be made, so there doesn't really need to be any money for that in my opinion, because the fact the committees are spread out doesn't really seem like an argument.

Mirre (Academic Committee Chair): The argument isn't that the committee members are spread out, but the problem is that the bonding itself needs to happen and be facilitated so the committee will profit.

Tariq (UCR): Do you really think going to an escape room or having lunch together on cost of the UCSRN would be the most appropriate way of doing this, as everyone's money will be used for your escape room which is kinda weird in my opinion.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): It's not only about having lunch – it's more about the idea of doing something together. That we're one group and getting to know each other, especially if it is now a platform of communication, and not just events. It's really important that we know each other and trust each other, because then if there are issues my board is dealing with that I can get help from the committee. And that I feel free to ask them to them, which is why I feel the bonding event is really important.

Jelle (AUC): Would like to ask a question about the first slide of the expenses?

Kirsten (Treasurer): I'll go back through the slides again but do we still have questions about the bonding budget because we'll do that then and go to a general Q&A about budget any other questions.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Conversation between members

Faye (UCR): You can do bonding without budget.

Julian (UCU): I think that it's not only for bonding, but also the UCSRN is voluntarily and it's also they spend that money to stay motivated and have fun and to keep going, and I don't think this is much per committee.

Unidentified (UCM): To add to what has said before, it's true they need to get to know each other, and we want to have these committees accessible to student who maybe not have so much money to spend on actually travelling and having lunches or those few opportunities to meet each other in the board. It's not a super significant amount, and the budget isn't event specific. If that group thinks they will bond best over laser tag or a lunch or whatever then that's what suits them.

Ruben (UCR): I want to comment – nothing against bonding, I'm in the SC, I'm looking forward to the bonding moment. I would be fine with paying myself for an activity, but I see that that's not an argument for everyone doing so, but bonding doesn't necessarily going to have to cost money. I also want to stress, that it's not a big portion of the budget, but do we think the 200 euros are best spent there, we could add the money to another event post. It might be better spent.

Jin (UCU): Regardless of what the expenses are, travelling food everything. €200 euro isn't that much if you look at it per person.

Xenia (UCT): I think it's also good to take into account that the people on these committees currently do not know each other. So they have no sense of obligation to one another, so they then don't necessarily feel like they have to fulfill what they're expected to do. If you know people in person you will feel more obligated to complete your tasks, so bonding will make it easier for the committees to collaborate.

Domagoj (TUC): Event funding has enough money, I don't think this is too much money for bonding. In theory you could do bonding without money, in practice any bonding uses money. If they already travel for more than a day it would be nice if a drink or sandwich is covered. If people invest so much time into the UCSRN, I think 100 euro is a very small fraction of the budget.

Natasha (UCT): This has been discussed within the committees, it came from them for better trust, accountability and support. Considering they're scattered across the country with not a lot of communication possibilities as a board from one UC would have. I think it's a good investment.

Daniel (Chair): Ok, so thank you everyone for your opinions, both sides seem to have been represented. I now ask if there's a motion on the floor, because if not we're going to continue. (Pause) Seeing there are none, we shall continue.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Jelle (AUC): I have a question about the promotion budget post. You said that it is for going to conference that might require entrance fee, is there any concrete example of such conferences.

Kirsten (Treasurer): We don't have an example of exactly one – since we are not going to conferences right now. We are quite interested in getting out there and we have heard from quite some parties that quite interesting conferences on for example Diversity and Education, do require an entrance fee.

Discussion among the Executive Board.

Kirsten (Treasurer): Milou is going to give you an example.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): When we were first elected – we went with some old board members to conferences and lobby events, such as MasterMind which was a conference was about Master transition and how to structure this and most of the people there were of the opinion Master shouldn't just look at the bachelor they've done but also at the skills and abilities and the student's motivation which is what a UC is all about, so we talked to them and informed them about the idea. There were a lot of master coordinators and when they know the concept of a UC it might give student way more opportunities to get into those masters, so that's one concrete example.

Daniel (Chair): Sometimes we are invited to go to an event, and sometimes it's free. I want to stress that we will spend this budget on the fee for the conference, and the fee for the conference only. By explicitly stating it like this we can not spend it on renting a car to go to a conference because that would be a conference expense and we stated we would spend it on the conference fee.

Unidentified (LUC): I had a question about the exact expenses of representation because I understand that from now onwards you don't know the conferences now. Are we retrospectively seeing what the money was actually spent on?

Kirsten (Treasurer): We will give you financial updates, at least every 8 weeks, and we can of course include this in it, we will also keep you updated it in the events we go to cause we want you to know what we are doing.

Daniel (Chair): There are also the books, which are public to all our members, so just ask us and we can give you access to everything that's happened.

Kirsten (Treasurer) : Any more questions?

Daniel (Chair): No, then I would like to invite the IB to come forward again.

The IB steps forward. Daniel starts voting procedure.

Daniel (Chair): Everyone sorry, a note, we have to restart the voting procedure. I still have to mention one thing, we found out 20 minutes ago that either in the results of last semester



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

or in the budget of this semester, there was still two bank costs missing which in total are €40. So either those results you just approved are not completely correct or they need to be added to our budget. Jonathan and Kirsten are looking into it, I want to mention it, but I want you to know that if you vote on approval you also approve on the 40 euro that we haven't included, if we find out this needs to be included in our year. So please take that into account in your vote.

The GA voted in approval of the budget. Approval of the budget.

15. Advisory Body

Daniel (Chair): As last point we want to introduce the AB, there was quite a discussion, therefore it was last minute. We present it because we need your approval. We want to add Jonathan to the AB, he was treasurer last year. We want to keep Yannick, Danielle and Helene. They were in the AB last year and have stated they want to stay. We also want to add Hannah whom was secretary last year, so we want her for her experience. Rob was deeply involved and we want him to continue being involved in the UCSRB. Lance was the chair for AUC and he was a great player in reforming the UCSRB, so we want his continued involvement. We want to ask one VIDIOUS member, we don't know who yet so we ask you to approve "a VIDIOUS member", and Herman Lelieveld are external people. VIDIOUS does meetings in a way we are a fan of, so we want them for advice on our meetings and Lelieveld is a professor PoliSci at UCR and he was a good network on legislation so they help with two of our main goals. For our network and our information sharing meetings.

Any questions?

Lennart (UCM): Could you maybe state from which colleges the AB candidates are from, we don't see a UCM rep and we would just like some elaboration on what UC everyone is from?

Daniel (Chair): That's a fair question Jonathan is RASA/UCR he is graduated, Yannick UCT, Hannah UCU, Helene UCU, Rob UCT, Lance AUC, Danielle AUC, Herman is a teacher at UCR. If you want to raise that point as criticism sure, but do you have a follow-up motion or question?

Bojana (UCM): Could you elaborate on the role of the Advisory Body?

Daniel (Chair): We want the continuity, outside expertise and personal advices. We want a variety of people to give a variety of advice that we may need. The AB doesn't actually give any binding advices, they don't have power, they don't decide anything, they only have an advisory function to help us be informed. So if you are concerned about power, these people do not have any actual power.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Bojana (UCM): I think in that sense it would be nice to have someone from UCM to have that input. It would be good to have someone to context from a non-central UC to also have that perspective taken into account in your advice. It can be a unique perspective.

Daniel (Chair): So you say we should take into account different people from different UCs can provide good insight which we know don't have.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): For the input for each UC, we have the Social and Academic representatives, of course. These are more Executive Board related people, to give advice on such practical aspects, so in my opinion, it doesn't matter which UC they are from

Daniel (Chair) : If you feel strongly about it – we did ask the old AB members to stay, and the ones who wanted to are still in here: we would take to the next GA, for suggestions of other UC, so we can consider it and take into account

Freya (UCG): How official is the AB? What do they do and how are they organized and what is their function?

Daniel (Chair): From our policy standpoint there is not strict line on what exactly the advisory body does. The most important is that we have to propose new members and present them to the GA, so that's what we are doing right now. The AB has the document that rules within the body, which is not totally binding for them. Usually what happens, the EB sends the agenda and minutes to all the members, also to them, they react on it and give response to our steps. We choose members on their qualities.

Any more questions?

If you approve this, you approve this being the AB, and we will also look into getting different kinds of representatives from other UCs.

The AB is approved.

16. Open Floor

Daniel (Chair): Before we close the GA, we go to open floor, here you can raise any motions or comments you have.

Louise (AUC): I was wondering whether we could discuss the UCSRN Tournament Trophy, and also perhaps the possibility of a motion regarding that and get some clarification on the following tournaments and what's going to happen there.

Daniel (Chair): If you wanna start a discussion or raise a motion feel free to do so.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Unidentified (EUC): One comment if we are going to discuss the trophy, we should discuss the stealing of property from a board room.

Suzanne (AUC): This is not about us personally getting the trophy back to AUC, but about getting a trophy for next winner of the next tournament.

Daniel (Chair): If we need to buy a new trophy each year that's a waste.

Louise (AUC): It's usually tradition between UCs that if property is stolen you meet to organise a trade and exchange. However, there are rumours the trophy damaged – and EUC doesn't want to trade.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): Could EUC give an honest answer on the state of the trophy right now? Because I'm really interested.

Unidentified (EUC): So far as I know, the tip of the trophy broke off.

Unidentified (EUC): We still have all parts, but the top part broke off.

Unidentified (EUC): The part with the UCSRN Logo has broken off.

Milou (Social Committee Chair): To be honest, of course its nice to have a tradition or relation between student bodies, to steal things, which is an association item but damaging it is not ok.

Daniel (Chair): A question to EUC, would they agree to giving it back to the UCSRB, for the next tournament.

Louise (AUC): Its not about the Student Association itself – but its about the UCSRN property and whether you need to budget for a new trophy.

Daniel (Chair): If EUC would agree to giving the trophy back, we could repair it and not have to pay for a whole new trophy. Which is why I was asking EUC.

Unidentified (EUC): We agree to give the trophy back if we get the board picture back.

Daniel (Chair): Apparently there is going to be a trade at some point.

Gerold (AUC): Sorry that makes no sense, this trophy is UCSRB property, doesn't it have to go back to you period?

Daniel (Chair): Yes, but what do you want me to do, go over there and steal the trophy. I do of course want it back, which is why I'm asking. But there is no policy specifically on the trophy.

Unidentified: I think it's strange, you are the chair of the UCSRN the trophy is property of the UCSRN.



Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Daniel (Chair): I can force people to give things back, I could sue them, but I prefer to do it by a civil manner. Which the EUC has agreed to do if they received their board picture back so it's solved, that would be my preferred way of solving.

Daan (EUC): On the matter of sueing, I wouldn't suggest but you guys broke into our building.

Daniel (Chair): If I may interrupt, if there is a motion going on, then go ahead but otherwise I do not think this is a topic for the UCSRB GA. Would EUC be ok with repairing the trophy themselves?

EUC rep: We tried repairing a trophy but it didn't work, any other solving the problem would be the same expense as buying a new trophy as I wouldn't know where to go to repair a trophy.

Daniel (Chair): We will look into the reparation of the trophy, and it will be solved between the two board but its not important enough to continue this discussion at the GA. Anything else.

Stephen (UCM): We would like to ask for clarification on travel reimbursements, for GAs we get €7.50 for smaller events €3.50. For UCSRB tournament is that € 7.50 or €3.50?

Kirsten (Treasurer): For all events it will be €3.50 and for all GAs €7.50, however it will also be dependent on where the events are, but we don't know yet as we haven't had any approved proposals yet. But the amount of 3.50 stands.

Stephen (UCM): Regardless of what distance the UC is?

Kirsten (Treasurer): Yes.

Jonathan (AB): We had this discussion for 2 hours at a GA last year, the €3.50 is the half of the NS groepsretour. So everyone gets 50% of the travel reimbursed, end of story.

Ruben (UCR): Can the EB look into things other than plastic cups for sustainability?

Daniel (Chair): Sure, we will see.

Xenia (UCT): How to bring suggestions to the IB without going through EB?

Daniel (Chair): To contact the IB it's independentbody@ucsrn.nl.

Xenia (UCT): I meant more with suggestions for who to send suggestions for?

Kirsten (Treasurer): You can also send it to us, and we'd look into it.

Daniel (Chair): If it's a suggestion for how the IB is structured you can send it to them or us, but if it's about us you can just send it to the IB. If you want it to be formalised you can raise a motion for formalizing at a GA.



University College Student Representatives of the Netherlands

Minutes of the General Assembly - 30/09/2017

Evi Sifaki - Mirre Stevens

Location: UCU

Xenia (UCT): Write a manual of brassen/or stealing for university colleges, because a lot of student associations don't seem to be aware of those rules and don't know what to steal. You can steal from a student association but not from a study programme.

Daniel (Chair): It is a sensitive topic, however a nice suggestion.

Amber (IB): You have 9000 budget – but where is the list of where is going to be what?

Daniel (Chair): This is a part of the bottom up approach, this is why we are using Event Proposals, we still don't know anything.

Amber (IB): So should the EB push for organization of certain events?

Daniel (Chair): For the bigger events, we will take initiative if nothing has been sent in as an event proposal.

No future questions are raised.

Closure of the Ga