



University College Student Representatives of the Netherlands

Second General Assembly Minutes

Chairing the GA:

Stephen McCarthy

Minutes:

Mai Thai de Rijk

Presenters:

Stephen McCarthy

Justin Smael

LUC Tournament Committee

Date of General Assembly

3rd of November, 2018

Location of General Assembly

Leiden University University College

Time of General Assembly

13:00 (Doors open at 12:30)

Second General Assembly Agenda

1. Approval of the Minutes of June 9th
2. Approval of the Minutes of Election GA September 15th
3. Approval of the Agenda
4. Advisory Board Approval
5. AC Chair announcement
6. Executive Board's goals and objectives
7. AC goals
8. SC goals

Short Break

9. Budget Reevaluation
 - a. votes
10. Tournament Proposal Results
11. Tournament Team
12. Open Floor

Second General Assembly Minutes

Attendance of the General Assembly:

3 **AUC** members attended
3 **UCM** members attended
UCR was not present
1 **EUC** member attended
1 **UCU** member attended
2 **UCT** members attended
3 **TUC** members attended
UCG was not present
5 **LUC** members attended

Introduction

Stephen: Those are all the members present, enough members for the quorum, the GA will proceed. Welcome everyone to the second official General Assembly. We now have a complete board. The GA will cover the following agenda: We will go over the approval of the minutes of June 9th which was motioned to be moved to this GA. Approval of the minutes of election GA on September 15th, the approval of the current agenda, the approval of the new Advisory Board, AC Chair announcement, Executive Board's goals and objectives, AC and SC goals. And after a short break we will go over the budget reevaluation, now that there is a complete board, we will then go over the results from the tournament proposals, the new tournament team will have a small presentation. Finally ending with an open floor, hopefully after join one another for a nice borrel.

1. Approval of the GA Agenda

Stephen: This is our Agenda for today's GA.

Approval by acclamation.

2. Approval of the Minutes of Election GA June 9th

Stephen: Posted on the documents page of the website.

Approval by acclamation.

3. Approval of the Minutes of Election GA September 15th

Stephen: Also posted on the documents page of the website, these minutes are from the previous Election GA.

Approval by acclamation

4. Advisory Board Approval

Stephen: So this is our nominated advisory board (also documented as AB). The function of the AB is to advise the executive board (also documented as EB), they're invited to every GA, they are basically there to voice their opinion and EB may listen to them, they do not hold any tangible power. These members on the presentation are the proposed nominees by the EB, their functions can be seen on the presentation slide next to their names. There is nothing specifically mentioned about the previous AB members transferring over, so this is what our current EB would like. Does anyone disapprove or have any questions?

Approval by acclamation

5. AC Chair Announcement

Stephen: We'd now like to announce our new AC Chair. Bart would you like to come up to the stage.

Bart: My name is Bart Knibbe. I'm the External Communication officer of AUC student council and now the Chair of the Academic Committee of the UCSRN. Today I will later be speaking about the AC (Academic Committee) goals, but that is all the current relevant information I'd like to share as of now. Thank you.

Stephen: So we will now present the complete EB and cover our goals and objectives. I'd like the complete EB to come up.

Stephen: Hi, in case you have not been to a previous GA, I'm Stephen McCarthy, I'm a third year. I was on the social board last year and currently the chair of the EB.

Mai Thai: I'm Mai Thai de Rijk from UCU, and am the Secretary of the EB.

Justin: I'm Justin. I'm the treasurer and am from AUC.

Victoria: I'm Victoria Mai from AUC and I am the external.

Juliette: Juliette and I am from UCM. I'm the chair of the Social Committee (Also documented as SC)

Bart: I have a feeling I'm going to repeat myself, but I am Bart Knibbe, AC Chair.

6. Executive Board's goals and objectives

Stephen : These are our current EB goals and we also have plans on how we wish to measure them. We aren't making true big changes to the given vision, but these are our personal EB goals. We want to increase promotion for the UCSRN, especially not only to the UC's but externals. We want to improve the look of the UCSRN and it's image, it's professional outlook. We want a more responsible budget use and transparent financial documentation, such as events like the tournament. This will avoid situations similar to last year regarding the budget. As well, we'd like to have a more well spent budget, as our treasure indicated to be his primary goal. We want more consistent events and more reliable large events. Establish the new External position which is currently not truly defined, uncertain responsibilities. In addition, we want to improve our professional network through the external position. Are there any questions?

Ruben (UCM): What is meant by the professional network?

Stephen : currently our UCSRN network is amongst students, primarily between AC and SC and the chairs. But there is not a lot of communication between UCSRN and other professional organisations and political parties, only with Ministry of Education. We are in contact with the UC Dean network and some student associations. Light communication with governmental education. We are not as professionally known, we want to increase our reach and want a total better understanding of communication and how organisations run. This would enable more options, like safety net and opportunities. Any other questions?

Jasmijn (AUC): What is meant by the new UCSRN image and improved look?

Stephen: We'd like to have a more professional EB image, in addition improve the reputation amongst all the UC students. We want them not only to think of just the tournament, not just a one time meeting. When students think of the UCSRN, we hope to give an overall give a better impression of what the UCSRN provides. Broader understanding from each UC and hopefully enthusiasm.

Rebecca (LUC): How improve understandings within UC's?

Stephen: That goal is primarily driven through our other goals. Not only promote events more on facebook and through channels but also encourage and motivate our members and representatives to act more and spread awareness the organisation and of existing and potential events much more.

Phineas (UCM): Back to professional networks and political lobbying, how are you planning on going about that?

Stephen: We're in the planning phase of that. Mostly through looking for network events, events surrounding it. Talking to previous boards on what they have done and representing students politically and with the sessions with the Ministry of education.

Mai Thai: We've been attending events such as the UC dean network and will be attending the discussion at the house of representatives, parliament (Tweede Kamers) on the 26th of November to discuss education with the surveys that are out to best represent the body about the quality of education. Victoria is constantly looking for networking events. We have found a few which we may or may not attend to. Overall we have actively been working on this.

Stephen: We've been sending out surveys and ask our representatives to send these out the the body. 2 more questions.

Jonathan (UCM): how are you planning on keeping all the UC's updated on the goals?

Stephen - Each of our goals have measures of how the initiative and outcome will be executed. We will share those through FB posts and Newsletter. Hopefully representatives of each UC will continuously share them as well.

Marik (AUC): Bringing UC's together is not one of your goals, are you planning on achieving this through one of your goals?

Stephen: it is one our goals of the UCSRN. We standby and support the vision. However, we are presenting what is measurable and what can be done consistently. Hopefully, through that the cohesiveness will be brought up through them. This can be benefited through events and promotion. If there's more events, there are more chances of UC's attending leading to exchanges hopefully allowing togetherness to come through that.

7. AC Goals

Stephen: Now move on the the AC goals. Welcome Bart.

Bart: I would like to now present the AC goals, for the academic committee, which is academic representatives from all the university colleges to deliberate topics relevant to each UC. During our skype meeting we have outlined our 4 different goals. Firstly, we want to be a platform for information sharing and best practices via the interconnected network. The way we plan on achieving this is for instance looking at the differe treasure tropes of each UC and examining whether it is perceived as a flaw by other UC's. For example, each UC could benefit from the CPI revision which is happening at AUC right now. If the CPI programme is relevant to other UC's we hope to then offer that. Additionally other topics we can deliberate about is the masters transitioning and so forth. Second, form specific focus groups. We try to keep meetings as efficient as possible but not all topics are relevant to all UCs. Focus groups will be with few UC's; discussing about specific topics and will be facilitated and organised by myself. Thirdly, we'd like to evaluate budget proposal allocated by the EB for academic events. With close consultation with the treasurer, we wish to make full use of the opportunities for all UC initiatives. We will focus on these proposals that come in, to determine the value of the events for all the students of UC. Lastly, brainstorm an official academic even done by ourselves since it has not been done before. However, it will only organised once the real a valued event is thought of, one that benefits all UC's. An example could be a masters transition related event, since it's difficult for students to enter the job market and masters based on just the UC curriculum. If the idea is not clear cut, the event will not be organised just for the sake of it. We want a quality proposal that adds value.

Stephen: Moving on the SC Goals, Juliette.

8. SC Goals

Juliette: We've brainstormed a bit with a few of the members here today, Jasmijn, Carlijn and Noemi today. Firstly, establishing regular meetings due to current complications. The idea would be to have bi-weekly meetings to discuss a variety of things. Whether it'd be about the student associations, student life, legal stuff associations are dealing with. Every pressing issue we believe needs discussions to help life of students of their respective UC's. Hence, the event supervision such as spotlight will be increased due to previous incidents. The organisation and management will be reviewed. We want communications via host uc and representative in the committee, for example, LUC will organise the tournament.

Stephen: Oh no you ruined our surprise.

Juliette: Hahaha, regularly update UC's and see how things are going when necessary. Participation and communication - we want maximum participation possible, dedication to the social committee to make things work. UC cooperation is also in regards to events, obviously we are open to all proposals students want to organise, make it feasible, see the opportunities and support through exchanges and our meetings. Thank you.

Stephen: We will now have a short break, following with the reevaluation of the budget and the tournament team.

SHORT BREAK

9. Budget Reevaluation and Vote

Stephen: We will now continue, please take your seats. Justin will now present the reevaluation of the budget.

Justin: Last GA a preliminary budget was voted on. Now a few changes has been made. So in terms of income nothing has changed except the goal for sponsorships. Trying for sponsorship money. We've established contact at the ministry and hope for another 1k. We've establish contact so the 1000 euros will should be achievable. Expensenses for representation will be changed to 500 euros instead of 100 euros, though it was 700 euros last year. Last GA the previous treasurer stated only a 100 euros, therefore the new board would like to change that. We want to be more active in representation with external communications, better present ourselves such as business cards, banners at stands at networking events. This can be applied to events such as us going to the Hague. We may in addition order a flag as well. What the goal is, the money is available to best represent ourselves in to the future events. We want to have the money available so that when we do attend these events, that the possibility of the use is there. This is not a major change, just a small increase. In addition, based on the last GA with the motion to go to a bar to have the UCSRN pay for it was denied, therefore, we've decided that the GA budget is reduced to 60 euros instead of 75 since that should be enough, especially with the second and third GA since those should be short. No borrel is paid in this is the agreement. In the end, the result is better. 600 euro less of a loss due to the sponsorship cost added to it. No big changes has been made.

Mai Thai: At the previous GA, there was a tad bit of a discussion regarding bonding funds.

We would like as the EB to allow the UCSRN EB to receive 50 euros for bonding. We realise through our skype and day to day meetings, we don't necessarily get to know each other. The meetings themselves take two hours and within that time it is all EB work related. We therefore, with the 50 euros will be able to have better communications with one another and best represent all of you. therefore we propose a motion in order to meet up

Sebastiaan (LUC): What are examples of these expenses? Would it be for drinks, food, lasertag, traveling costs?

Mai Thai: For this amount of money, we will cover our own travel expenses, we only request money if we travel to a GA. This amount would be for bonding activities such as escape rooms, lasertag, a group activity that involves all of us to talk and get to know one another. We will definitely not ask for your contribution fee to pay for a beer. We want an activity that will bond the board personally.

Marik (AUCSA): Seeing you are the executive board you could propose budget and get voted on? Why motion for it if you and not just put it in? Is there an explicit reason?

Stephen: It was added afterwards, as the budget was prepared prior to this.

Mai Thai: In addition, it was a discussed issue questioned previously. In this way there more transparency, making clear what we are doing with the money. We truly want to get everyone's approval and allow everyone to see the value you in it.

Stephen: If someone saw an issue with it, you may motion to remove it later.

Phineas (UCM): Why did not have it before?

Justin: Not clearly know. However, the academic committee and the social committee has 50 euros to do it. That has been approved. Last year academic used nothing; and social I believe has partly. Therefore, we thought EB should have the opportunity for that as well. Two boards of the three receive this money, yet another important board does not.

Mai Thai: This will benefit for this board and future boards.

Stephen: First we vote on the motion and then the budget itself. Any motions by you can also then be voted on. Firstly vote for the motion
All for 6 votes

Annemijn (Independent Body): Today it will be just me, the others are in Scotland and Singapore.

(Issue arises of how proxy votes and number votes work regarding delegates. This issue is resolved for the current GA for each UC to receive 6 votes regardless of the number of attendants. For the next GA, proxies must be sent in, or else the number of members will be the number of votes (up to 6 maximum)

36 votes (6 votes per UC): **APPROVED!**

Motion is passed

Stephen: The Budget Vote!

Sebastiaan (LUC): Budget motion for the tournament to receive 500 euros. I'm Sebastian from Fortuna, member of the tournament team, tournament in the Hague. We will be organising the tournament in 2019 in the Hague. We've been working on our budget and we believe it is smart to have 500 additionally to 4000 we currently receive. We want to reserve this until Fortuna and UCSRN EB agrees upon it. This will be a buffer in case of the sponsors not meeting the requirements, or unexpected costs which are in the budget sheet. This will not be an immediate transfer - this to be buffered if the sponsor retracts or unexpected costs arise. 500 from reserves to the Tournament.

(Another internal, IB and member discussion of whether this is to be voted before or after the overall budget. Since the money will be taken from the reserves, the tournament team may motion, be voted on, then the overall financial books will be voted on then closed.)

Marik (AUC): Question for motion, phrasing it as a reserve jar, would you propose the 500 to the unforeseen jar instead? How will this be done?

Sebastiaan (LUC): This is also on slack and the drive shared. Income costs as seen here, financial buffer is included the 10%, and we have culture part of LUC will guarantee this after the tournament, this will release the UCSRN from the financial obligation. The 500 euros will aid any possible financial gaps.

Ruben (UCM): Sounds great but honestly, I can't make a decision, since the budget hasn't been gone through yet.

Stephen: In order to ease the flow of the GA, let's first go through the tournament proposal and then overall vote on the UCSRN budget

Agreed by the GA

10. Tournament Proposal Results

Stephen: Tournament proposals were all great and the EB is thankful for all your efforts. As known LUC won, we look forward to what they have to offer. LUC Tournament Team, we'd like you to come up to the stage.

11. Tournament Team

Stephen: Welcome tournament team and introduce yourselves.

Daan (LUC): Thank you for coming here, my name is Daan, I will be the chair of the 2019 tournament team. We are excited to host you all this year, we have a great plan and our budget is working out great. Currently we are working with sponsors and will quickly give a rundown of everything including finances after we hope we can answer your questions for you. Without a further a do, I will pass on to Sebas, who is the tournament team's secretary.

Sebastiaan (LUC): I'll be running through everything today. Firstly, this photo on this slide here is of our team, which you also saw in the proposal, this is also our location *image on presentation*, so you can have a taste of what the vibe will be. One member, Erin, is not here due to football game but the rest of us is here.

Short Introductions of the Team.

Coen (LUC): I'm Coen, I'm in charge of the budget and financial things, making sure that all things are fit and that we have no issues.

Siebe (LUC): My name is Siebe, in charge of the sponsorships, in LUC I'm also in Fortune TV, I will be ensuring great promotion, aftermovie and footage.

Noemi (LUC): Committee Affairs Officer of the Fortuna Board, I'm also in communication with the SoCo.

Sebastiaan: I'm Sebastiaan, which I've told you already. We meant to communicate this earlier, SoCo can enter the slack with more detailed information. After the SoCo, the SC has voted LUC to host. Skype with EB, they told to bridge financial gap of 4000 before the GA, of which we did. We did this by doing a few things. LUC, college board sponsored 2000 euros and they also function as a guarantee any losses. After all the costs come, they will fill in the loss in case of messing up, UCSRN will not be held reliable. This will ensure the most secure budget possible. We don't doubt that but it is always better to be safe. This will be done in a trustful manner. There is 300 euros from crisis plan - company that we are acquainted with. Decathlon, a sporting store, allowed any equipment usage, any sporting equipment this can mean a ball or a running finishing arc, which is pretty cool. This is planned to have around the stadium. They offered this for free of charge, including another offer for the next year's team for sponsorship for the next consecutive years, multiple years for cheap rate, though not for free. We made this deal with Decathlon in the Hague but they want to be a national contribution. Sponsorship in banners is by the faculty, promotions office from the faculty offered all banners are for free of charge, a 500 euro is added to the budget incase of anything happening. As I previously said, there is a 10 % buffer. Different from what was seen in the proposal, there is a lower cost for catering, translated dependent on the number of attendees, 1400-1600 less in costs than previous proposal. We are really happy with this. On November 19th, we are meeting with the Elderman of education in the Hague, we have a good connection with her and have already spoken to her a bit in real life. She is excited for the tournament plan, regardless of being busy and not being able to meet sooner. We are trusting that municipality of the Hague will aid since they are promoting themselves as a student city in the Hague. Events like this really help with the promotion of that. Lastly we would like the 500 euros in addition from the reserves, in addition to the 4000. This is the budget as is now. Costs and income are indicated in the table. Blue excels are estimates, except the Crisis Plan and LUC offer, those are set in stone. For the rest they are estimates. There is 2400 euro deficit as of now, and we have agreed with the EB that the end of this year should be bridged by half. Anything to add Coen?

Coen (LUC): Nope, that is perfect.

Stephen: I'd like to include that the documents will be signed and scanned, confirming this.

Sebastiaan (LUC): This does not account for all income, such as from LUC, Fortuna and EB. But we definitely have signed documents for external parties. This will include all things they expect and what we expect.

Ruben (UCM): Off topic question, although not relevant right now, are we discussing events and different activities in the competition that is being planned?

Sebastiaan (LUC): On the next slides.

Stephen: Anything else concerning this slide?

Jasmijn (AUC): On the right side, under other costs there's a bouncy castle and etc, can I ask why those are open?

Sebastiaan (LUC): Those aren't necessary things of the event. So these will only be added if there's money available but these aren't promises. We want a bouncy castle but won't prioritize it, as not everyone would want that if more must be paid.

Daan (LUC): To add to that, decathlon might bring a cheap option for bouncy castle.

Sebastiaan (LUC): But we don't want to promise anything, so no hopes will be up.

Berend (AUC): In the top right corner it says first aid EHBO, that is in my opinion really low?

Coen (LUC): we've been in contact for costs awaiting a reaction. There are expectations that it may be a little higher, but since we have been working with faculty for promotion material and also the equipment will cost less in the end. Within LUC we have a student support system, where there are residential assistance at LUC that have first aid training thus will ask them to make sure they are there as well. We hope to also have a first aid tent, and those residents helping in addition. Which will mean the costs are lower. There definitely is chance that it may be higher, but there are other areas which will go down.

Jonathan (UCM): How do you plan to bridge your 2400 euro gap?

Sebastiaan (LUC): We are still reaching out to commercial external parties, Justin will help us more with that more and more, and municipality will hopefully help as well.

Stephen: The EB has set a soft deadline for this bridge to be met by half at the end of this year.

Felicia (UCT): What's the difference in function between the 500 asked for and the 2000 buffer?

Sebas - So the buffer is included, it is freely allocated if costs may be higher. The 500 is used when the 2000 is already used up

Felicia (UCT): So it is basically the same?

Sebastiaan (LUC): Technically yes, but the 500 is there if everything goes wrong. It won't be kept by us, or use it, kept by UCSRB. We won't be dealing with the money any way until we need it then we contact Justin. This way the UCSRN will not be surprised when all of a sudden, since it's already planned for.

Daan (LUC): It is a financial buffer on the buffer of what is asked from ucsrn, in case of huge unexpected cost, which is not foreseen, then we are sure to at least to some extent make up for it.

Jari (UCU): I can see 100 euros for small expenses, what is meant by that?

Sebastiaan (LUC): This is for the day it self on a organisational level, duct tape in case of a sudden need, what else did we discuss?

Coen (LUC): More less those materials, money that can be moved to a different but mainly materials.

Jari (UCU): so it's unexpected costs?

Sebastiaan (LUC): So a day like that, you need duct tape or food for volunteers, thank you for external parties that helped a lot.

Ruben (UCM): That was one of my questions, but I have another question, regarding sports equipment, if you're getting it for free from Decathlon, what is the 800 for?

Sebastiaan(LUC): An indication is needed of the equipment needed, as soon as we know what is offered by sponsor and sport campush has, then it can be adjusted.

Daan (LUC): We are making, it's called in dutch, a draaiboek, an overview for the venue, what is gonna be done and what is needed and how it will be done, after that a more accurate number can be provided. Stage building and sound equipment also needs to be checked.

Stephen: Overview will be included in newsletter.

Ruben (UCM): What is goodie bag? What is the purpose of it?

Sebastiaan (LUC): Funny since this also came up with the EB, it's basically for external parties that will sponsor us, they need a platform to reach students for promotion in return. We may want to include snacks - apple banana and maybe bottle of water, but I'm majoring in Sustainability, and should it be giving those out when taps are available everywhere in the

building, giving it to 800 students is not good. Maybe a wristband so people have something to take home. In addition we want to use UniLife app to see if all information on the phone is possible, rather than it all being printed, because of next gen.

Coen (LUC): In terms of goodie bag, when we were writing the proposal it was one of the prerequisites of what should be included. There is something to definitely be said map and info booklet want to be online so may not even be needed to be more sustainable. See the other wishes of other UC's by discussing with the SoCo.

Stephen: In discussion with the EB as well, if goodie bag is not adding of value, we will not allow them to be given out. Thus online or digital would be beneficial as a lot of paper was wasted at last year's tournament, due to last minute changes in the pamphlets.

Daan (LUC): Less money on goodie bags, means more money for bouncy castles.

Marik (AUC): i have a couple of questions, I briefly looked it up for first aid and it's 300 euros for 2 guys for 8 hours and you would not have any stands. For a whole day you need a view people. Then 200 is too low, and may even be 600 would be needed. The budget should be reflecting how you want things to be and how you have it as of now, its not healthy to have additional money for equipment . Have better aims, and put those in the budget. A budget is also a goal to strive, you shouldn't really have question marks in the budget. You should be certain.

Sebastiaan (LUC): There was a proposal to indicate these amounts, this is just an update and show what there is up until now. Since the EB wants us to bridge the 4000 , and this is evidence of where we are now. So i understand it should show what you are aiming for, but this serves as information.

Coen (LUC): The amounts that we are aiming for, in terms of sponsors we did put those in the proposal but this is the most recent of most sure options, and things are still open, like goodie bags. . If we left the goodie bag out, and we had later wanted to add that in, it wouldn't be right. These costs are there and ensures no surprises, safety wise

Sebastiaan (LUC): We are trying to get definite numbers and make an inventory to know what exactly you need and what is being paid for. Agreed that it shouldn't be just indications but are working for hard numbers and will work as hard as we can.

Wout (UCT): If i hear your explanation on the goodie bags, it's mainly for external promotion correct?

Sebastiaan (LUC): It is for external party but as well due to it being a prerequisite for the tournament, and through skype with EB, if there is no added value with SoCo agreeing we will leave it out, though it was our first time hearing it.

Wout (UCT): If you wanna do it, I see goodie bags as just a small addition, nice to have it, but other solutions are available. If it is there, sponsors should cover the complete cost. Do you think the same way on that?

Sebastiaan (LUC): We agree, so does the EB. If there's no added value we will leave it out. It's not only us, since it's a prerequisite, we will discuss with SC to see what is to be done next.

Jasmijn (AUC): I have a comment. I do agree, on the other hand, price of tournament increased from 12,50 changed to 15. Last year was it was included but it is difficult, if the price goes up and you don't get the bag, it's unfortunate, and it's a nice memory to have of the event.

Stephen: The stance that the EB has on it is if there's snacks and mementos that's great, but last year was an invalid memo and just flyers which went to a waste bin. Last year trash bins were full of goodie bags.

Sebastiaan (LUC): I propose so have this discussion for later point in time, you will hear from us in the biweekly updates. We will ask for feedback then from SC and EB.

Stephen: Earlier requested budget documents will be in the newsletter.

Ruben (UCM): I have a suggestion, how about having a branded Decathlon water bottle could solve sustainability issue.

Sebastiaan (LUC): Thanks for the suggestion, we've also thought of it. We have info for the day itself. Mainly our theme is ring of fortune because our student association is called Fortuna. Campus will support as a ring, the symbol of sportsmen and athletes meet in a ring was for us a cool symbol to build the symbol around. Our plan is that it will all be in one location. Sports, culture and party will be in one place, building, though compact, it creates a vibe of bonding. UCSRN takes over the building, no other users will be in the building that day, which is nice. We plan to have more, working on an opening show, to create a vibe of celebration and fighting against one another. the playful competition make the day fun. An opening ceremony will activate those senses. The location, Top Sporthal, has a beautiful field with seats around it and everyone can be there for the opening. Dean, Dr Judy, will open the event. Working on things that will play with your senses, such as sound system. We are looking into things such as the bouncing castle, and of course the Fortune Chance read in the proposal. As you can read on your update on slack, we'd love your help but we want a place for feedback in an organised manner when ideas are wanted. In the end, the plan is how we make it. Keeping the tasks inside of fortuna and the team, or else we will be off track. Anything to add before the video?

Daan (LUC): Something to look at in the video, not only is it one location but has great spectator options. We have two main halls, the opening will be in Top sporthal which has capability of hosting 4000 people which is quite big. And second hall, is bigger and has two levels, the playing field on the first and a stance on the second is connected to the other hall, see sports between the both halls. Easy to mingle and sense of every activity available.

Sebastiaan (LUC): main focus is accomodation, working with UC and municipality looking at options to stay in the same building incase students want to stay over. That including the breaking even will be the main focus for the rest of 2018. Trying to make an inventory of equipment, starting to design logos, banners and promotional material. If you questions or want to reach us, Noemi is the external communications. We will send bi weekly updates, and access the drive which everyone can look through. Feel free to spy on us.

Ruben (UCM): Could we please have a battle of the board, last year was cancelled unfortunately due to boards being late and it was raining.

Daan (LUC): It's a beautiful locaton in a park and won't be affected by rain. Like said, if there are financial means, we want to set things up outside for a nice atmosphere going there. As seen in the video, will be indications of what will happen at the tournament.

video is played

Daan (LUC): To close things off, this is what we have planned and working on, I hope you are all excited as we are and we look forward to hearing your feedback. Thank you.

Sebastiaan (LUC): We will send out this video for you all, with idea that you can send this to all the students. Since it is on easter monday, it's not in people's system, this is a reminder for everyone that the day will awesome. This will hype people up and more hyped people means more money. We want to make the day not only for those that participate but equally fun for the spectators.

Stephen: So now we will vote the motion for the 500 euros additional buffer to the buffer. Then the budget as a whole, then open floor.

500 euros for the tournament

30 votes for the motion

6 votes abstaining the motion

Budget overall 860 will be deficit

36 for the overall budget

(Future GA's will be with proxy forms, if not done, none is given. There are currently 3 members. IB is open for positions, an official call will soon be made. More representation for all UC's since currently AUC has the majority number of members.)

12. Open Floor

Jonathan (UCM): In last GA minutes, in short EUC wanted to have a meeting with the EB to see what has happened in the past and what will happen in the future. Has the meeting has taken place with EUC or if a request has been made?

Stephen: EUC have indicated they wanted a meeting but has never requested it. The current EUC member is hear, but I believe she is not up to date with the situation.

Chandene (EUC): I'm from the academic committee but will contact chair of UCSRN last year if anything is needed to know.

Sebastiaan (LUC): Just for your information, we will also be in contact with them and let us know anything that may come up.

Stephen: The EB still stands for what we said before. We still are open to look over with anything with them if they want to. We will not make a random reimbursement without the GA's vote, changes like that. It's only about reading over and helping them with what happened.

Marik (AUC): Right now the SC votes for the tournament Is there any documentation about who has the authority? This year the EB has interfered and involved quite some bit if I have understood correctly. But is there any documentation of who has the ultimate authority in making decisions?

Stephen: I'm actually willing to discuss and make it official to a GA vote in the future. In the previous times it's been a vote, SC reviews them and EB looks at it and treasurer checks and gives an opinion. SC chair represents the EB's opinion. The discussion is primarily made in the SC, and voted there. But communications between tournament team with the EB later on, then the budget it discussed and so forth.

Marik (AUC): To sum it up, the SC has the ultimate vote, and EB has an advisory vote on this. What is the opinion on this from everyone?

Sebastiaan (LUC): I think everything is fine, an evaluation can be made in the end but to make it clearer have a real strict requirements for budget, and what is needed. More refined pillars on what is to be voted on. In the end it will make more sense to vote on what is being expected.

Stephen: We can document that and make more clear prerequisites. Is there a motion or suggestion wanted, or change in policy or statues?

Mai Thai: The statues will and cannot be changed.

Jonathan (UCM): interesting point to bring up because I too believe this needs a discuss. I propose to have a discussion and vote at next GA for authoritative requirements, and put it in the policy manual. It currently feels like backwards politics, though I trust the EB and SC, this will is better for future.

Stephen: We can do this at the next GA to add this to the policy manual.

Juliette: Regarding this idea, the SC remains the main body that remains the one who looks at the proposal since there is one member from each organisation and makes it the most objective. All UC's have an equal value in vote, thus will be no bias. If EB votes then it wouldn't be fair if all members are from the same UC.

Mai Thai: We are of course on the same board together, but in the end of the day the EB does not decide which UC should host the event. But there are moments when executive decisions needs to be made. If the EB senses that there is a red light, i.e a UC can't follow through on their words or promises - there needs to be a party that can keep them in line and motivate them. Such as the concerns of having financial issues, with LUC, the EB provided crucial deadlines that they must meet for sponsorships. EB only gave an advisory opinion on which UC we believe would be most feasible, fair and also fun. As an executive authority role we play a bigger role on the financial responsibility, the rest is up to the SC. I'm explaining this for all of the GA to understand the current situation.

Stephen: This can definitely be brought up in the next GA as an official point. The Policy Manual in general needs brushing up, so this will definitely be an issue discussed. Any other points?

Rebecca (LUC): We would like to invite you to drinks, unfortunately can't be at our own building, the original location, so we'd like you to experience the real the Hague experience and like to invite you to borrel on the Plein.

Stephen: Any more remarks for the open floor? No? Alright then. I would like to hereby close the GA. Thanks all for coming and EB for hard work and introductions. I'd like to thank the Tournament team, awesome stuff, thank you to LUC for hosting us.

End of GA