



## University College Student Representatives of the Netherlands

---

### Budget General Assembly Minutes

Chairing the GA:  
Minutes:

Mai Thai de Rijk  
Nia Alexieva

---

*Date of General Assembly*  
*Location of General Assembly*  
*Time of General Assembly*

18th of October  
University College Utrecht  
19:00 (Doors open at 18:30)

## Election General Assembly Agenda

1. Welcome
2. Approval of GA Agenda
3. UCSRN Executive Board Update
4. Election External Position Executive Board 2019-2020
  - a. Vote

Break

5. Advisory Board Nomination
  - a. Vote
6. Election Results
7. AOB's
8. Closing

## Election General Assembly Minutes

### Attendance of the General Assembly:

**AUC 4** members attended (2 proxy)  
**UCM 0** members attended (4 proxy)  
**UCR 4** members attended (2 proxy)  
**EUC 0** members attended (2 proxy)  
**UCU 8** members attended  
**UCT 2** members attended (2 proxy)  
**TUC 6** members attended  
**UCG 3** members attended (3 proxy)  
**LUC 0** members attended

Total of votes: 40

## 1. Welcome

## 2. Travel reimbursement disclaimer

**Felipe:** There was a temporary solution made for the discussion of the travel reimbursement. That will be continued for this GA. What will be reimbursed is 50% of the GA cost. For any inter-UC event it will be according to travel distance by trains and by kilometer for a certain rate. That will be extended to the next GA because of the circumstances of this GA, since this is unforeseen to say the least. Anybody that would want to discuss this?

## 3. Approval of the GA Agenda

*Passed by acclamation.*

## 4. UCSRN Executive Board Update

**Mai Thai:** To update on what the EB is doing. We have constitutional drinks and Lustrum event happening here at UCU, at UCSA Bar. We have a lustrum dinner which is optional, will cost about two euros, with a huge friettafel event. A more casual way to get to know each other because we want to break the boundaries and people holding back. Constitutional drinks we will also send out some of the rules and regulations, regarding stealing. Within that weekend we will have the board weekend. We will be travelling to a remote place nearby and stay together and get to know each other a little more. That is why we wanted to organize this GA at this date because we wanted the newly elected board member to come with us. On the 2nd and 3rd we will have an AB and EB day, where we will be establishing and building up the relationship between the AB and the EB.

Recently, IB had a very successful training and we are excited to see how this work would be reflected along with that.

AUC's ten year anniversary means that this is the lustrum of the AUC and they will be having events related to that throughout the year.

We are introducing board 1 on 1s which is just another bonding activity that we will be funding on our own.

We will have a GA reflection that will be sent out very soon. There were some aspects that we as a board wanted to improve on, so there will be a form sent out and if you have any questions to add, we would like to receive those from you.

Lastly, the past month and a half we have been mostly configuring logistics and getting the bank settled, promotional material, etc. The resignation of the board member has delayed a lot of our work and projects and we would like to apologize for not being as efficient as we could be.

For the social committee, on behalf of Mitchell who is on the screen over there:

For the Spotlight, we would like to congratulate LUC for a great proposal. Date will be on the 29th of February. Something new that they are introducing is an added element of interaction where different UCs can sign up and have a little session to get to know people more, so they are breaking the little bubble that also happens at events like this.

Tournament will be the 18th of April, the date was decided in the Social Committee. There was a table that indicated the times that were convenient for everybody. Twente will be hosting this and they have prepared greatly. Although it may seem far away, we want to motivate the boards to encourage students of the UCs to travel that far.

Some inter UC events that are happening: tournaments between LUC, EUC, UCU and AUC. Subsequently, other UCs will be included.

Hockey and tennis matches between different UCs have been discussed.

Mitchell has offered to pair up two UCs together to have a little “playdate” especially by making a more variety mix between the bigger and the smaller UCs.

LUC is organizing a pub quiz for all UCs and they have been very active.

We encourage all UCs to be this enthusiastic and active and use the funds available. There's 1000 euro for social events and 1000 euro for academic events, so please make sure to use it!

### **Preksha:**

For the AC update:

So far we have gotten ahead with two of the goals that were introduced at the last GA. We have been having roundtable discussions and getting to know how each UC deals with certain topics and so far it has been pretty successful.

The second goal has been expanding the UC database extensively. So far we have revamped the database and not only adding what was already added last year, but making it more detailed. We want to add extensive documents from each UCs and these will be available for all students and members.

## **5. Election External Position Executive Board 2019-2020**

The members will be giving a four minute speech and if they go over it, I will need to clap. The EB will ask position specific and board specific questions. Then there will be five minutes for the audience to ask questions.

So for our first candidate, I would like to invite Maxim.

**Maxim:** (listen to recording)

**Preksha:** You start later than the rest of the board. How will you get up to date and handle the gap that has been made?

**Maxim:** The most important thing is just getting to know you and the interpersonal aspect.

Also, I have looked at the website and it contains some important documents through which I can get a general picture for what you guys are doing and for the rest, I just need to put extra efforts into looking at the minutes and what projects are going on.

**Nia:** Okay, so for the next general board question: Imagine it's midterms week with everything due, but there are outstanding duties for the board that do need to be tended to. How would you manage this and what will you potentially have to prioritize.

**Maxim:** I would try to make a good plan for organization, so that I don't come into this situation. Besides uni, I do a lot of sports and extra activities, so I could potentially drop those temporarily and focus on the work I have for UCSRN.

**Nia:** Now for the specific position question. A potential partner that would be very beneficial for working with the UCSRN has recently stopped replying and seeking any communication with you? How would you approach this and try and maintain a good relationship?

**Maxim:** Well first of all, I would try to approach them not in a very aggressive way, just by making a phone call. If that doesn't work after some time, I will try other ways of approaching them, such as stopping by their office, but then if there is still no communication, I might try and go find a new partner.

**Mai Thai:** Thank you for that! Now for the questions from the audience.

**Folke (UCU):** Thank you for your speech. In it you mentioned a lot of the academic aspects of the UCSRN, but I wanted to ask about how you're planning on facilitating the social aspect of the UCSRN?

**Maxim:** The social part is really something you can channel through social media, since for now the UCSRN is definitely not something that came directly to me, not in university and especially not in high school, so that is something that I would definitely want to work on.

**Maurits (AUC):** You have this idea of wanting to show the UCSRN as 'amazing'. Could you maybe specify exactly what kind of image you want to be presenting for the UCSRN? What would you want to represent the UCSRN as.

**Maxim:** I would want the UCs to be perceived more as amazing. Especially, the good sides of UCs: the academic quality, the broad development and the great social environment that there is. All the other external activities that are offered at UCs and this is something that is great and the other universities can learn from, so this is something that I definitely want to share.

**Ithai (TUC):** As an external, I assume that you have talked about improving education in the Netherlands which is a pretty epic mission. How as external would you try to improve the image of university colleges. Would you be approaching master programs, other UCs, outside partners, universities abroad, how would you go about that?

**Maxim:** I think the most important part there is to try and reach out to other organizations from other UCs. LAS has some flaws of course, so by listening to what other universities have to offer, it could be important to see and hear what other improvements could be made. I think it's important to burst the UC bubble and try to see what the outer world has to offer for our educational system.

**Yvette (UCU):** How are you going to deal with matters such as lobbying in the chamber to back up UC education, when you have only been a part of UC and LAS for half a semester.

**Maxim:** I do get that. I have a clear vision on education and I do see the strong points on the LAS education and although I am a first year student, I have a clear opinion which I can convey to other partners.

**Renzo (UCT):** What would you say are the three selling points of UCs?

**Maxim:** Broad development, important social life and that it's a system which has everything to offer.

*Audience claps.*

**Mai Thai:** Thank you so much Maxim, that was great. Now to invite our next candidate: Bart.

**Bart (Candidate):** unfortunately, I do not have a prepared speech, but I do have some notes. Dear Executive board of UCSRN and all student associations that are attending. First of all, I want to thank you all for coming here tonight for this election GA and giving me the opportunity to present myself as a candidate for the position of external representative. Now the question is, why vote for me and not for Maxim. Currently, I am a fourth year student at UCG and over the past few years I have worked hard in the Caerus association and our faculty to make UCG a better place. Most of this work was through committee work and with the icing on the cake, being on the board of the Caerus association last year. Aside from the experience there, I have also been a volunteer with Scouts. My experience here varies from housing a huge event in the US last summer to supporting the establishment of the national students Scouts board, not unlike the UCSRN actually, Through this different work, I can full confidence say that I am a team player and that I will always consider the opinions of everybody else on my team. UCSRN has over the last four years developed to become the association that it is. Now though, it is time to bring all the UCs closer and eventually, reaching out to Venlo and Frysland. Making UCSRN a truly national representative body requires some self-reflection and I think my experience on the Caurus board comes into play here, as we went through a similar process last year. Now, why would a 4th year student on a three year bachelor be the best choice to represent the UCs to external parties? Amongst my friends are many UC graduates who are now either in master's programs or living already, having a job. These people can be very valuable for establishing a UCSRN network. This brings me to the next point: aside from this already existing network, I am very much a network knight. Establishing the name of the UCSRN within the Dutch community might not be the easiest task, but it is a task I am very much ready to take on. I think through my experience with the Model European Parliament board and the committee work, I know a lot about the political game which is crucial for building up and maintaining a network. Lastly, as UCSRN external, there are some smaller tasks regarding the website and LinkedIn. I am convinced that I am very well prepared for taking on these, especially for establishing the UCSRN network by staying in touch with partners. This would be one of my focus points during the board year. My application lastly, shows the involvement of the smaller UCs in the UCSRN and would be the first from UCG to be in the UCSRN EB. All in all, I think this makes me a good candidate for the position and I hope you can see this.

*Applause*

**Nia:** Now, for a general board question: There is a major disagreement between you and your chair. The chair wants to pursue a certain course of action and you do not agree at all. How would you approach this and overcome this?

**Bart (Candidate):** I can say from experience that I find that difficult. It is however very important to carefully listen to each other, even if you are opposed and you should consider the opinion of the rest of the board. If I am the only one with that opinion, I just gotta take my loss there. If I am supported by the rest of the board though, the chair will need to take their loss.

**Preksha:** One of the original goals of the External position was to acquire sponsors for the UCSRN, however, this has not been done yet. Will you focus on this, do you have experience with this, and how will you approach this?

**Bart (AUC):** I have some experience with this and it was one of my tasks on the board last year. It is very difficult for UCs as people don't really have a clear idea of what a UC is. By carefully phrasing a default response together with the rest of the board and with the input of the GA it is very much possible to write a convincing argument why one should support and sponsor the UCSRN.

**Mai Thai:** Now the audience has five minutes to ask the candidate questions.

**Laurens (UCU):** Maxim focused a lot more on the aspects of LAS and the educational aspect of it, whilst you have been focusing a lot more on board participation and you come from that background, so I wanted to ask you, why would you be a good LAS education representative?

**Bart (Candidate):** I have chosen for a UC and for a LAS education and I went to quite a few open days. In all three places, as soon as I walked into the building, I knew this was the type of study I wanted to do. I don't think I am the right person to phrase what makes a UC so great on my own, but I think that is something we should all establish together and make a draft and that would be a good way of advocating LAS to external parties.

**Marik (ex-AUC):** You mention in your application a lot about your work with Caerus, but over the past years it has been lacking in participation within the UCSRN. How come it is just now that you are showing initiative to join the EB and UCSRN?

**Bart (Candidate):** I think some of you might have noticed that Caerus has had some trouble with its boards in the past. Only for the past one or two years we have had a decent and stable board, so it's why I only now decide to join on the UCSRN EB and to show that there is more to this beautiful city in the north than that bunch of people that always show up to UCSRN events.

**Mauritz (AUC):** You said that you want to bring away the focus from the Randstad and organize more to include the smaller and more far-away UCs. Do you already have some kind of plan in mind for this?

**Bart (Candidate):** It's a very difficult task just because of the geographical position of the Randstad and the smaller UCs. As already mentioned though, a collaboration can be hosted by one bigger centrally located UC with a smaller UC and I would definitely promote more of those. But also, something like hosting an event in Zwolle for example, which is an hour

away from both the small and the big UCs and even though there is no UC there, it could be a nice way of promoting and encouraging participation.

**Renzo (UCT):** You have been mentioning quite a lot about making a draft for how to sell UCs to external parties. Could you maybe make this pitch right now, to us?

**Bart (Candidate):** UC is a unique opportunity to start broadly in your studies and have a broad background and later on specify what you are doing, but still keep the benefits of a broad base and working on project-based problems with people from other disciplines that are also relevant to this problem.

**Ithai (TUC):** How will you fight for improving the quality of education in UCs and making them inclusive?

**Bart (Candidate):** Especially the inclusive part is quite difficult, since UCs are quite an elite institution, let's all be honest about that and inclusivity is very difficult and that is not something that we could tackle in just one year, but it would be good to at least start thinking about this and put this on paper, because as far as I remember, I couldn't find anything about this on the UCSRN website. And for improving the quality of education, there are conversations with deans that are very important, talking with the educational ministry. Just getting all the parties involved and show what a beautiful thing we are and how to improve that.

**Jip (UCR):** What do you consider your weakest point and how would you improve that?

**Bart (Candidate):** I would say there are two: one of them that I live in Groningen, which can be a disadvantage for an organization that requires a lot of travelling. The second point is that I have a tendency to try and push through my opinion and not let others speak out that much with theirs, but that is something that I have very much worked on over the past year and which I plan on improving way further in this possible board year.

*Applause*

**Mai Thai:** Thank you so much, that was great. We will not have a break where you can vote and discuss with your board, go over the motivational letter, etc. The IB will give a piece of paper, or the ballots on which you can vote.

a. Vote

**Break**



## 6. Advisory Board Nomination

**Mai Thai:** So now we will go onto the nomination of the advisory board. We will go over every member that we are nominating and the reason for our choice since some names may seem unfamiliar to you or maybe even familiar and surprising, whichever it may be.

First person who we are nominating is Marik. He studied in AUC, has graduated now and was previously chair of AUCSA and was a very active member of UCSRN last year. It can be quite hard to choose for the AB, but with Marik we have greatly appreciated the attention that Marik put into his work with the UCSRN, especially I had experience working with Marik last year when I was secretary.

Next person is Jonathan. He studied at UCR and graduated in 2018 and was a UCSRN member in 2016-2017, he actively helped as an AB last year. He helps us with the website and hosts it, since he has his own company. He helps us with the reimbursement and has a lot of knowledge with UCSRN technicalities.

Natasha was previous UCSRN IB and Mitchell especially nominated her and she was from UCT. She has been great to work with. Was previously on the Atlantis board as well.

Jari van Tilborg was previous UCSRN Academic Committee member and though he was not as active within the committee, we value his experience with the AC of UCU and is current secretary of the bar and works with very important issues, which especially Nia wants to learn a lot from.

Bart Knibbe studies at AUC and is currently in the AUC AC and this year is co-chair. Somebody that was amazing to work with last year and has a great perspective on resolving conflict and tackling board dynamics and he has already started with different issues that they want to work on with Marik as an AB and was previous AC chair of UCSRN.

Daniel who studied at UCR was a UCSRN EB chair previously and has been very helpful over the past few years. He is always providing support and is readily available, which is very much appreciated.

We didn't print any voting sheets for the AB because we thought that it would be quite easy for you to discuss with your members if you would like to as a GA ask any questions you have and then if possible, vote by acclamation.

**Reinier (UCU):** Do the members actually need to be here for us to vote them in?

**Max (TUC):** What was the role of the AB?

**Mai Thai:** The past years the AB has not really had a certain structure and hasn't been too active. There are things such as transitioning in which the AB board could've transitioned us. This year we are going to make transitioning so much smoother with passing on knowledge and experience. What is up for discussion right now is to institutionalize and set up in a document where we can have a more structured function for them. Today if elected, Bart and Marik would like to speak out about current plans that they would like to propose and if elected.

Would anyone like to discuss this vote?

**Benjamin (TUC):** What about the gender distribution with five males and one female?

**Mai Thai:** That is a very fair point to have equal distribution on the board, but I think that we value experience the most and that is mostly what we have taken into consideration. Furthermore, when it comes to an AB, even though such a divide can affect the board in some kind of way, I think everybody on the board can overlook any discussions that might come up and I think the dynamic would be really good, since they have all been on a board and will all bring in a lot of value and experience.

**Ithai (TUC):** You have told us your considerations for taking on five men and only one woman. You have also said that you take people that have been on a board, but have you considered taking on somebody that has not been on a board for a fresh perspective?

**Mai Thai:** We come to the AB with issues that are mostly board related and we do require a degree of confidentiality about these matters and all of these members we know we can trust and are able to handle such information and would know with previous experience how to fix such issues. Fresh perspective is something that can be asked on a wider scale, for something more trivial or not confidential, we can involve more of you guys, the GA and students. Most of you are new to being on a board as well, so that is in a way a fresh perspective. And furthermore, if you have something that we discussed and you would like to take back to your students and bring it back to us, we would really appreciate this.

**Ithai (TUC):** I disagree wholeheartedly with a lot of the things that you said. I hope you consider that being an inclusive organization means giving authority and priority to views which may at this moment seem outside of your organization. I see why you prioritize experience for this list, but I do think that it is important to know.

**Jet (AUC):** I have a question about the voting procedure: so seven members can be on the AB board?

**Mai Thai:** There need to be two previous EB members, minimum three on the AB. Furthermore, we have compared the UCSA AB board, who have 12 members, but before we wake it that big we want to make official what the AB can be and work on a small group and then work up if we want to nominate more.

**Jet (AUC):** So the vote will be about the entire board?

**Mai Thai:** Yes, but if you would like to vote on members separately, we could do that.

**Inaudible (TUC):** Coming back to the topic of inclusivity, there are 9 UCs on the board, but there are only four UCs on this board. How will you work on representation from all UCs, if they are not on the AB?

**Mai Thai:** When we come to the AB, the UC that you went to or go to does not play a role.

**Yvette (UCU):** The role of the AB is to give input on internal board decisions and how you work together. The diversity of different roles is what matters the most, not so much representation.

**Mai Thai:** Would we like to discuss the vote? Can we vote on this?

### a. Vote

*Vote passed by acclamation*

**Ithai (UCT):** Can I ask a technical question? You ask whether you want to discuss it? Shouldn't you be asking whether you want us to vote on it?

**Mai Thai:** Asking to discuss the vote is instead of saying whether somebody wants to oppose, or support the vote, it's just a choice of wording.

**Ithai (UCT):** So then if we say, "yes, we want to discuss it," what will happen?

**Mai Thai:** Then you can either decide what happens, or we would just go about each member individually and vote them in individually.

**Ithai (UCT):** Okay, thank you!

**Mai Thai:** If the IB could come with the results from the election of our external?

## 7. Election Results

**IB:** *With 5 abstain, 10 votes for Bart and 25 votes for Maxim*, Maxim has been elected as the new External of the UCSRN Executive Board for 2019-2020 by the General Assembly on today's date: 18th October 2019. Congratulations, Maxim.

**Mai Thai:** Thank you both candidates for coming today and for running. I hope this experience, regardless of the results, encourages you to continue working with us. But I would like to ask Maxim, if you could come up and give a few short words, as an official new UCSRN External to come say something.

**Maxim:** I don't really have much to say It's the second greatest thing I have accomplished so far, besides unlocking all the levels in Super Mario Bros (*applause*), so I look forward to being part of this board.

## 8. AOBs

**Mai Thai:** Now, Marik and Bart will come up on stage to share a few words about the AB and their function for this year.

**Marik:** Dear GA, first of all thank you, second of all, there was previously a question about what the AB does. To be honest, in previous years nobody really knew exactly what the AB was and what its function was, who its members were, etc. Sometimes some of the AB members would show up at GAs and give some advice, but that was kind of it. Bart and I wanted to mobilize the AB and provide a meaningful platform of support. First of all we would want to give basic solicited advice to the EB, but also to the AC and SC. But also unsolicited

advice for something that the EB may not be noticing themselves as issues. Third of all, we would like to provide support and a voice of reason during GAs. Many of the people voted into the UCSRN AB have been hanging around the UCSRN for a longer time than most of you have, which means that they have seen usually more than one year of UCSRN action and know what trends are from last year: for example the travel reimbursement cost discussion that happens almost every year. Fourthly, the policy manual states that the AB is there to supervise and advise the transition period of the Executive period, which means providing continuity across executive boards as well and we really want to help everybody on this and already get started.

**Folke (UCU):** Do you think that in the future, the AB can be around for more than one year?

**Marik (AB):** According to the policy manual, right now the term of an AB members is one year and they can be reelected and there is no limit to how many times you can get reelected. But we want to basically start with a clean slate, the term is one year, which is pretty solid and you can always come back if you want to.

We also came up with a few policy changes that we would like to discuss with you, but those will be brought up later.

**Reinier (UCU):** We are organizing the UCSRN Football matches and we are left in the dark for the reimbursement that we want. We fucked up a bit on our part as well, but we have gotten to the second match and we don't know what has happened with the reimbursements.

**Mai Thai:** When Reinier said messed up, he was talking about how he put in a proposal after the football match itself, the first one being with AUC, therefore that could not be reimbursed, however the situation is that the second proposal was sent in the day before the second match and usually with a proposal, it is assumed that the EB has time to discuss what you are proposing. We haven't had a meeting yet, so we will discuss with the rest of the board and the Social Committee, this is why there has been no update regarding the match and the proposal, but it is on our agenda and you will hear, since you are also in the social committee.

**Antoinet (UCU):** Doesn't there need to be a deadline set for sending in a proposal, given that it looks like this is becoming a problem and that people don't know how early to send in their proposal?

**Mai Thai:** There may be one, this is pure speculation that it is two weeks and if there is no rule, there definitely needs to be one put in place.

**Ithai (TUC):** In the proposal competition for Spotlight, we contended and did not win, although congratulations to LUC for the win. But something happened which sort of worried us a little bit, was that our proposal used the budget that was available and given by the UCSRN because we do not have any money to spend on it. Our budget is around 3000 euros and we spend 1000 on UCSRN and the other 2000 we spend on our committees. So we don't have 1500 like LUC does have to throw a crazy afterparty. We would like to ask the board and the GA to think about how that might constitute the effort in the competition and how we could maybe fix this. Also we have the question to the board which is that the statutes in our opinion need an update. GAs should announced four weeks in advance, location three weeks in advance and the documents sent in two weeks in advance.

Currently, there is no such thing in the statutes and we really believe that this could improve the quality of our GAs and we could read over the documents.

**Mai Thai:** Regarding the proposal for the Spotlight. I speak explicitly this time around that it may have seemed that the additional budget that was added in created much more of an elaborate proposal, but it wasn't just the budget, it was the effort, whether the event seemed inclusive, etc. But for this occurrence, the budget did not come into play.

**Preksha (reading what Mitchell is sending):** This decision, and mainly our recommendation was not based on the afterparty, which was the reason for the 1500 euro extra expenses, but the carefully worked out proposal and the amount of aspects that integrated students from the different UCs.

**Ithai (TUC):** We are totally not sour about that, we really liked their proposal and are very excited about that. We ask not only the board, but the GA to think about what that means and whether it needs fixing at all.

**Marik (AB):** You mentioned statutory and policy manual changes. Something good to remember is that it is quite tiresome to do that because it's a lot of effort, but all the changes in policy manual and statutes, can be changed by the GA, which means you can be the change. If you would like to make changes to the policy manual, you can send in the proposed changes and those can be discussed. Last year we held a focus session before the GA, so we could shape all the policy manual changes and propose them to the GA and we had a five minute GA, up until the AOBs came around, but I'm not going to touch on that. With statutory changes, those are hard because there is a notary required.

**Antoinet (UCU):** With statutory changes, this is something that we have been working on for the whole academic year and it costs a lot of work and a lot of money.

**Ithai (TUC):** My point was to encourage the board to look at amendments that can be made. We wanted to request the board to pick this up. Now it's in the minutes, so I have requested them to do that and also I will send them an email to do that. Also with "AOB's" (referring to text on the slide) I don't think that there should be a comma between the B and the S, that just popped into my mind.

**Mai Thai:** To wrap up the conversation about statutes and the policy manual, we initially wanted by this year to have a change in the statutes, but we have now decided that we want to make this a long term project also for the next board and since it's such a lengthy process you want to perfect it as much as possible, but also involve other people, such as the next boards.

**Yvette (UCU):** Don't forget about the IB because they are very knowledgeable of the statutes.

**Renzo (UCT):** We also revised the statutes and we had a whole separate committee for that, so you could maybe look into that.

**Ithai (TUC):** Please put your reserves on the budget so that we can see why you're overspending a couple thousand over the budget. That was the only thing that I wanted to ask.

**Mai Thai:** For those of you that don't know, Max approached Felipe to include the reserves on the budget, so that it makes sense why we overspend and how much that was. Originally it would have been accessible to everybody, but don't want to put it online, since it can be detrimental especially if we want to get in touch with sponsors and partners, since that is making it able for people to make assumptions on the state of our financial health.

**Felipe:** When the budget was first voted on in the GA, the reserve amount was said. But this is something that we will discuss and bring back.

**Benjamin (TUC):** For putting the CV on the website, maybe black out the phone numbers and the personal information because I currently know where Maxim lives. And I'm not sure the candidates are okay with that.

**Mai Thai:** After the election period, we immediately remove all of these CVs from the website and from everywhere on the internet. Anybody would like to bring up any AOB's?

## 9. Closing

In that case, the GA is closed.